DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 215 732 JC 820 203

AUTHOR TITLE INSTITUTION PUB DATE NOTE

40p.

Saunders, Dorcas S.
Faculty Evaluation: Process and Procedure.
Shelby State Community Coll., Memphis, Tenn.
81

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
College Faculty; Community Colleges; *Evaluation
Criteria; *Evaluation Methods; Faculty College
Relationship; *Faculty Evaluation; *Peer Evaluation;
Professional Development; Professional Recognition;
*Self Evaluation (Individuals); *Student Evaluation
of Teacher Performance; Teacher Effectiveness; Two
Year Colleges

ABSTRACT

A two-year project was undertaken at Shelby State Community College to revise the school's faculty annual evaluation system. The first step in the development of the system was the identification and definition of five teacher roles; i.e., instruction, service to the college, professional growth, professional activities within the faculty member's area of expertise, and community or public service activities. The second step was the identification of sources of information on instructor performance in each of the roles, including student, peer, self, and supervisor evaluations. The third step was the determination of the weight or value to be placed on the information provided by each of the sources. After determining the kind of information to be gathered from each source, the final step was the development of forms, procedures, and protocol. The revised evaluation system, which is intended to support promotion and tenure considerations and to determine the need for faculty development, consists of four stages: (1) the determination by the faculty member and his/her supervisor of the emphasis he/she will place on each of the five roles; (2) the fulfillment of the agreed upon roles and responsibilities; (3) the collection by the faculty member of the necessary documents for evaluation; and (4) the evaluation itself. The bulk of this document is composed of samples of the various forms used in the evaluation process. (KL)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made



FACULTY EVALUATION: PROCESS AND PROCEDURE

By: Dr. Dorcas S. Saunders
Shelby State Community College
Memphis, Tennessee

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Dorcas S. Saunders

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy

Preface

The faculty annual evaluation system presented in this document is the result of a two-year project under the direction of the Dean of Instruction at Shelby State Community College.

A faculty evaluation task force, representative of all academic divisions and instructional areas of the College, was formed in the spring of 1980 for the purpose of reviewing the present system of annual evaluations and recommending specific changes. Dr. Raoul Arreola, Director of the Center for Instructional Service and Research, Memphis State University, was employed as a consultant. The members of the task force were:

- Mary Nell Cook, Assoc. Prof. of Health, Physical Education and Recreation, representing the Faculty Senate.
- Jeannette Gunter, Coordinator of Continuing Education, representing the Area of Continuing Education and Community Services.
- William Morris, Head of the Department of Social Sciences and Professor of History, representing the academic administration.
- Julia Peace, Coordinator of Medical Lab Technology, representing the Division of Allied Health.
- Dorcas Saunders, Director of Transfer Studies; representing the Dean's staff.
- Sarita Sherrod, Assistant Prof. of Languages and Literature, representing the Div. of General Studies.
- William Wannamaker, Head of the Dept. of Public Service Technologies and Associate Professor of Criminal Justice Studies, representing the Division of Education and Technologies.

Approval of the revised annual evaluation system has involved a review process by the faculty through each of the following steps:

- 1. Definition of the faculty roles
- 2. Identification of the sources of information for each of the roles
- 3. Determination of the weight or value to be placed on the information provided by each source
- 4. Determination of the kind of information to be gathered from each source
- 5. Development of the forms, procedures and protocol

Although the annual evaluation is a significant component in the process of awarding promotion and/or tenure, there are other factors to be considered such as length of time in service and the staffing needs of the institution.



It is the purpose of this system of annual evaluation to support promotion and/or tenure considerations made objectively, equitably, impartially, and as a recognition of merit in line with the policy guidelines of Shelby State Community College and the State Board of Regents.

In addition, the results of the annual evaluation will be used as a base for determining the need for faculty development. Goal setting, which is the initial step of an annual cycle, will provide both the individual faculty member and the college as a whole important information for planning. Evaluation of how completely those goals are reached each year will add the advantage of formative evaluation to the professional development program.

When the revised system is fully operational, the evaluation year will begin with the spring quarter and end with the close of the winter quarter. For the transition period, the initial evaluation cycle will begin in September, 1981, and close at the end of the winter quarter, 1983. Those persons who wish to be considered for promotion and/or tenure in 1981-82 or 1982-83 academic years will continue to follow the current system of faculty evaluation. However, all faculty members will be expected to participate in the revised system, even if they will also be applying for promotion and/or tenure before the revised system becomes operational.

In order to "mesh" this system with others at the College, some procedural changes will be made in allied areas. For example, standing committee assignments for 1981-82 will continue through 1982-83. Other processes will be adjusted when the need is identified.

Merit Pay

The Faculty Senate will be asked to provide leadership for recommending to the President by the end of the spring quarter, 1982; policy on the allocation of funds for merit pay. Specifically, the Senate should set up appropriate forums to consider two major questions:

- What percent of the annual allocation of funds for faculty salaries should be reserved for merit pay?
- 2. What should constitute the lower limit of merit pay?

FACULTY EVALUATION TIMETABLE TRANSITION PERIOD

September 8, 1981

September, 1981 - March 1, 1982

From Fall, 1981, - Winter, 1983

4 End of winter quarter, 1982

End of spring quarter, 1982

June 1, 1982

February 15, 1983

March 15, 1983

March 16 - April 20, 1983.

April 20 - May 15, 1983

May 1, 1983

June 15, 1983

- Supervisors to discuss system with facility, agree on procedures for departmental tasks
- Supervisors and faculty to reach agreement regarding personal goals and objectives and degrees of emphasis for the transition period
- Student evaluations, using CIEQ, completed for a minimum of one class per quarter.

 NOTE: Intersession and summer terms not required but may be included.
- Deadline for departments to determine
 (1) departmental criteria for numerical ratings and (2) procedure for selection of peers for peer review
- Deadline for renegotiation of degrees of emphasis and personal goals and objectives for transition period
- Deadline for Faculty Senate to submit to the President a recommendation regarding merit pay
- Deadline for selection of peers for peer review *
- Deadline for submission of dossier by faculty member to respective supervisor
- Period for review and evaluation by peers, self and supervisor
- Appeals
- Final date for faculty to review evaluation with supervisor
- Deadline for finalizing all evaluation action for transition year

Procedures for Evaluation

There are four main steps of the evaluation process:

Step 1, determining the emphasis to be placed on each role, is a joint endeavor of the faculty member and his/her immediate supervisor.

Step 2, fulfilling the terms of the agreement, is primarily the responsibility of the faculty member. Assistance will be available through the Professional Development Program and supervisors also will be working with their faculty members to help them meet their specific goals.

Step 3, gathering evidence to document or report the accomplishments for evaluation, is the <u>sole</u> responsibility of the faculty member.

Step 4, evaluating, is dispersed at varying weights among students, self, peer and supervisor.

Step 1, determining the emphasis to be placed on each role.

As agreed upon by the faculty during fall quarter, 1980, there are five roles or areas in which faculty will be expected to assume responsibilities: Instruction, Service to the College, Professional Growth, Professional Activities and Community/Public Service. Within the limits designated by the faculty as minimum and maximum emphases, each faculty member, with the approval of his or her supervisor, will determine the annual level of emphasis to be placed on each role. These agreements will be determined prior to the beginning of the evaluation cycle but may be renegotiated when warranted by changing conditions at the college or in the department.

Minimums - maximums of role emphasis:

Instruction	50	_	70%
Service to the College	1 25	-	35%
Professional Growth	5	-	25%
Professional Activities	•		25%
Community/Public Service	5	-	15%

Step 2, fulfilling the terms of the agreement.

Although the faculty member is primarily responsible for meeting the responsibilities as agreed upon, there are resources at Shelby State for giving assistance. The Professional Development program will be planned each year according to the expressed needs of the faculty. Personnel from Instructional Media Services and the Shelby State Library are also available and prepared to assist in course, program and material development. Department heads and professional colleagues can also be a valuable resource in many of the roles.



Step 3, gathering evidence to document or report.

As stated earlier, each faculty member will be responsible for assembling the necessary documents for evaluation. Similar i a portfolio, the materials should be placed in a loose-leaf notebook with divisions between the sections. The notebook will be kept in the office of the faculty member's immediate supervisor. (In the office of the division chairperson for department heads and in the office of the department head where the person holds rank for division chairpersons, directors, assistant/associate deans, deans, etc.)

Essentially, there are two kinds of forms or documents: (1) those for reporting activities, marked as "R" and (2) those used for evaluating, marked as "E". A third form, marked "S", is a single document used to record the summary of all of the evaluations.

It is to the advantage of each faculty member to keep the portfolio as current as possible, thereby avoiding the last minute rush that has been somewhat of a problem in the current system. A check-off sheet has been developed to assist the faculty member in maintaining a record of placement of reports in the notebook.

Step 4, evaluating

Through the review processes described earlier, the sources for evaluation of the five roles were designated as students, peers, self, and supervisor. The weight to be given these sources for each of the roles is as follows:

- I. Instruction
 Students .50
 Peers .30
 Self .10
 Supervisor .10
- II. Service to the College
 Peers .40
 Self .20
 Supervisor .40
- III. Profes ional Growth
 Peers .20
 Self .40
 Supervisor .40
 - IV. Professional Status/Activities
 Peers .45
 Self .35
 Supervisor .20
 - V. Community/Public Service
 Peers .30
 Self .30
 Supervisor .40

Students

Course/Instructor Evaluation Questionnaires (CIEW) will be distributed by the Dean's office to the faculty, upon request. Faculty members will be responsible for getting them back to the Dean's office on schedule for processing. Each faculty member will receive two copies of the print-out of the results (one for her/his own files and one for the portfolio). A third copy of the print-out will be sent to the department head. In addition to the mean total scores to be used in the annual evaluation numerical ratings, there is some helpful information on the print-out for use in professional development.

Exceptions for use of the CIEQ have been recognized for clinical supervision and private music. In lieu of the CIEQ, these areas will use their own evaluation instrument based on a 4 - point system.

Peers

Peer evaluations, completed individually, wild be given to the faculty members' supervisor by the peer designated to evaluate a particular faculty member. It then is the responsibility of the supervisor to summarize the three peer evaluations, complete the summary form and place in the faculty member's portfolio.

The peer review will be done by three individuals: two from within the department and one from the division but outside of the department. Exception: Where there are five or fewer full-time faculty in a department, the peer review will be done by one person from the department and two from the division outside of the department.

Procedure(s) for selecting department peers must be agreed on by a majority of the faculty within each department.

Procedure for selecting division peers will be determined by the appropriate Division Chairperson and Department Heads.



Evaluation Criteria

The annual evaluation will involve the assigning of a numerical value from 1 to 4 in the following general scale:

- 👫 4 Outstanding Performance -
 - 3 Expected Performance
 - 2 Needs Improvement
 - 1 Unacceptable performance

For the transition period; the criteria for assigning a numerical rating for certain components of each of the roles will be determined by the various departments by the end of the winter quarter, 1982. These criteria must be agreed on by a majority of the faculty within each department, with a copy of the criteria furnished to department faculty, Division Chairperson and the Dean's office. A form for this purpose is included in the packet.

Departmental Criteria for Numerical Ratings

(Must be completed by end of winter quarter, 1982 furnished to department faculty, Division Chairperson and Dean's office)

`Depa	artment
I.	Course Material (Include all material, given to students. Use of previously developed departmentally prescribed syllabi will be rated 3.00)
,	4 - (Outstanding Performance)
4	
•	3 - (Expected Performance)
^	
	2 - (Needs Improvement)
•	1 - (Unacceptable performance or lack of documentation).
II.	Service to the College Standing Committee
	4 - (Outstanding Performance)
•	
	3 - (Expected Performance)
·	2 - (Needs Improvement)
1	1 - (Unacceptable performance or lack of documentation)
IÏ.	Professional Growth
•	4 - (Outstanding Performance)
2	
x	3 - (Expected Performance)
•	2 - (Needs Improvement)
٠ ٥	

1 - (Unacceptable performance or lack of documentation)

- IV. Professional Activities .
 - 4 (Outstanding Performance)
 - 3 (Expected Performance)
 - 2 (Needs Improvement)
 - .1 (Unacceptable performance or lack of documentation)
 - V. Community/Public Service
 - 4 (Outstanding Performance)
 - 3 (Expected Performance)
 - 2 (Needs Improvement)
 - 1 (Unacceptable performance or lack of documentation)

I. INSTRUCTION

Instruction is defined as those activities associated with the design and delivery of content material to students. For the purposes of evaluation, the instructional role will include: (1) classroom performance;

- (2) enthusiasm; (3) student relations; (4) subject matter knowledge;
- (5) materials preparation and selection; (6) record keeping; and (7) other specified actions. Factors to be included are:

IN-CLASS

Instructional Delivery

- -enthusiasm for teaching
- -ability to motivate and interest students
- -communication skills
- -student-instructor interaction

Instructional Design

- -course organization -
- -course difficulty
- .-grading practices
- -materials preparation
- (hand-outs, tests, syllabi, etc.)

Content Expertise

OUT-OF-CLASS

Record-Keeping

Office Hours

II: SERVICE TO THE COLLEGE

Service to the College is defined as service rendered by a faculty member in support of the goals and objectives of her/his department, division, and/or the College.

For purposes of evaluation, Service to the College does not include any functions that are defined elsewhere as: Instruction, Professional Growth, Professional Activity/Status, or Community/Public Service.

Essential elements

Standing Committee Membership

Attendance Participation

<u>or</u>

Special Assignment in lieu of standing committee

and

Fulfilling Registration Assignment

and

Attendance and participation in department meetings; working on department committees (i.e., curriculum, pedagogy, search); evaluation of peers.

Desirable elements

Advisor to student activity

Advisor to college activity

Develop and/or teach Continuing Education course

Maximum - 25%

12

III. PROFESSIONAL GROWTH

Professional Growth is defined as improving the competence of an individual to fulfill the role and responsibilities of her or his position at the College.

hancement of an individual is effectiveness in one or more of the following areas: teaching, administration, service to the College, service to the community. At the beginning of each year, the individual and his/her supervisor will develop a professional growth plan tailored to the strength and weaknesses revealed through evaluations.

Possible activities are:

Participation in professional growth activities on campus

Taking appropriate graduate courses

Attendance at off-campus activities

Trying new teaching strategies

Reading Journals or Professional Literature



IV. PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITY/STATUS

Professional Activity/Status is defined as service within the faculty member's area(s) of expertise for which the individual is employed at the college.

For purposes of evaluation, Professional Activity/Status includes: service and/or peer recognition and/or academic distinction in the person's professional discipline, such as: service in local, state, regional or national organizations; publishing; performance; consulting; honors.

Possible activities are:

Current membership in at least one major relevant organization.

Participation in Organizations

Giving papers
Attending conventions
Serving on panels
Serving as an officer
Holding committee membership

Publishing

Consulting

Performing (art, music, theater, etc.)

Honors Received

Review Activities

Editing/Reviewing Materials
Serving on Accreditation Committee



V. COMMUNITY/PUBLIC SERVICE

Community/Public Service is defined as activities within the Community for which the faculty member receives no pay. These activities can be either profession or non-profession related, with different expectations for each, as shown by the parameters of values allowable.

For purposes of evaluation, profession related Community/Public Service can include: representing the College on community projects: speaking to the media, service clubs, government agencies or community groups; serving on boards and commissions.

Non-profession related activities can include any non-school activities which reflect positively on the college and through which the faculty member performs a service to the community or segments thereof, and which do not require application of your area of College-recognized expertise.

These activities may be religious, political, service or civic in nature. The maximum percentage allowable on non-profession related activities is 5%.

.15

Faculty Evaluation Check Sheet (Should be placed first in the dossier)

Faculty Member	` <u></u>
Section I:	•
	Agreement on Role Emphasis ental Criteria for Numerical Ratings
Section II: E	Evaluations
E-2A Ir E-2B St E-3 Se	tudent Evaluation Summary Individual Peer Evaluation (Not To Be Placed In Dossier) Immary of Peer Evaluation Elf Evaluation Ippervisor Evaluation
Section III:	Reports/Documentation
I-R I-R2 I-R3 II-R3 II-R4 II-R5 III-R1 III-R2 III-R3 III-R2 III-R3 III-R4 III-R5 III-R4 III-R5 III-R6 IV-R	Print-Out from Continuing Education Transcript(s) of added courses Off-Campus Activities New Teaching Strategies Bibliography of Professional Readings
Section IV:	· :
	- Annual Evaluation

Supervisor check off

Statements of Disagreement

ANNUAL AGREEMENT
ON ROLE EMPHASIS

(To be completed by March 1, 1982; Renegotiation deadline, end of spring quarter, 1982)

(List agreed-on activities for each specific category)

Fac	ulty	y Member			
		nent	***		
I.	Ins	struction (50-70)			%
	Α.	Course/prcgram development			
		<u>, </u>			
			<u>'</u>		
	В.	Other materials	,		
			_		
		· 	<u> </u>	\	
	С.	Out-of-class activities		\	
	٠	Record-Keeping		_	•
				_	
		Office Hours		_	•
		•		-	
		Other		-	
•				_	
				-	
II.	Ser	vice to the College (15-35)			%
	A.	Standing Committee	<u> </u>	-	
		Member Cháir		-	
	В.				
		Check ·			
	С.	Department Work		-	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	
		•		-	•
	D.	Other: (Be specific)	,	}	•
		18	-		

	III.	Professional Growth (5-25)		%
·		, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		, ,		,
•				
			•	•
				1
•				•
•	IV.	Professional Activities (5-25)	,	
				-
			•,	•
•				,
		•		•
	٧.	Community/Public Service (5-15)	•	, , //
		; •		
		•	•	,
	^			• ,
	,		•	•
			-	
				•
o			-	
		Total (must add up to 100)		%
			v	
Signat		of Agreement:		
Fa	culty	member		Date
Su	pervi	sor		Date



Role Evaluations by Students

1	9	1	9	
•	_	٠.	•	

Must be given during the 7th week of the regular quarter; Intersession and Summer - proportional time period. To be completed by faculty member. Print-outs must be included in Section I-R.

Faculty N	1ember	 	
-			

I. Instruction (CIEQ total mean scores. Must have evaluations from a minimum of 1/3 of the classes taught in any given academic year. Summer and/or intersession may be used when necessary.)

<u> </u>		•	В
QUARTER	COURSE & SECTION NO.	LOCATION/TIME	TOTAL MEAN
	•		
	<u> </u>	 	
* * <u>. </u>			
			٠
1		,	
	1		
,			,
	,		
c			

Add all total means and divide by the number of courses evaluated



Role Evaluation by Peers

(To be completed by individual	peer reviewer	and
given to the faculty member's	supervisor; ke	ept on
file but not in the dossier)	•	•
Faculty Member		

Α.	. Materials Development	
۸.	(Give descriptive title-use bac	k of pages if necessary)
	1	Rating
	. 2	Rating
		Kating `
•	3.	Rating
В.	. Departmentally prescribed mater	ial 3.00 · .
(a	verage of all ratings on material add up all ratings above and divid the number of ratings)	e by
Se	ervice to the College	
Α.	. Standing Committee(s) (See II-R	1 and II-R2)
	1. Name of Committee	Rating
	2. Name of Committee	Rating
Αv	verage of Standing Committee Evalu	ation
В.	. Registration (consider complete	
C:	unless an exception is filed in Department Work (See II-R4)	Rating
D.	. Other (See II-R5 Faculty provid	e documentation)
•	(list activities evaluated-use	back of page if necessary) Rating
	2.	Rating
	. 3.	Rating
A۷	verage of Other Activities	



1.		
2.	•	
3.	Rating	· · · · · ·
4	Rating	•
5	Rating	
Average of all ratings o	on Professional Nevelopment,	
,	ced - use back of page if ne	cessary)
1. • •	Rating	
2	Rating	
3	Rating	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4	Rating	
5	; Rating	
Average of all ratings o	on Professional Activities	,
Community/Public Service (list separate activitie	e (See V-R) es rated - use back of page	if necessary)
1	· Rating	<u> </u>
2	Rating	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3	Rating	
4.	Rating	
Average of all ratings o	on Community/Public Service	



Signature of Peer Evaluator

Date Completed

22

Summary of Peer Evaluation

(Supervisor will compile from the individual peer evaluations, enter the average on the Summary sheet and place this sheet in the faculty member's dossier)

Role I. Instruction	Péer A	Peer B .	Peer C	Total .	Average
II. Service to the College	3		·	·	•
III. Professional Growth	, .	• v		^ .	
IV. Pr ofess ional Activities	. `				
V. Community/Public Service		·			
•			, .		•

Signature of Supervisor			
•	-,-		
Date Completed			•
novisor /abaabad			
Review/checked		01-1-1-1	

**		•			_	
, Fac	culty Member _	•			_	
. Ins	struction	•				•
A.	Instructiona	l Deliverv	•	•		
		asses as evaluated, by stude	ents) °		>	
	Quarter	: Cdurse No		Dating	•	
	Quarter	Course No		Rating		
	Quarter	Course No.		Rating		
	Quarter	Course No.		Rating		•
	Quarter	Course No.		Rating		
	Quarter	Course No.	*	Rating	• .	
	Quarter	Course No		Rating		
	Quarter	Course No.		Rating		
	Average of c	ourse ev aluations	3			
•	Weinde of C	ourse Evaluacions	-	-		
В.	Instructiona	l Desi an			•	
٠.	11130140010110		•			
	1. Syllabus	development: List by coun	rse number 🗀		•	
		٠,	D-43	6		
•					_ ,	
		3				
	· 	•	Rating		_ •	
	Departmental	ly Prescribed Syllabus	. Rating	3.0		
•			•			
٠ .	. Average of a	ll syllabus development	•		_	
	2. Other Ma	terials .	مسم			
	. (Give de	scriptive title - use back	of page if nec	cessary)	•	
		· e				
			Rating			
٠,		·	Rating			
	<u>•</u> ·		Rating		<u> </u>	
	Avonago of	11 ratings on other materia	ale		•	
•	Average of a	It factings on other smale, it	4		-	
		•			•	
0v	erall Evaluati	on of Instructional Design	*			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		3			•	
C	Out-of-Class	Activities .	•		• .	
٥.	* Out-01-01433	Activities			•	
	Record-Keepi	ng: Rating	7		•	,
	Office-Hours		_		. 4	
			- 			
· Ov	erall average	of out-of-class activities	•		,	
_	· · · · · · · · ·		•			
Co	mposite Role E	valuation in Instruction	٥		·I	
/ 4					1	
(A ⁻	verage of thre	e scores) •			Ī	

11.	Service to the College (a) Standing Committees Name of Committee Name of Committee	Rating Rating	. :
	• Overall evaluation of committee work		·(a
	(b) Registration	Rating	(1
	(c) Department Work	Rating	(6
,	(d) Other (list activities rated - use back of page if n	ecessary)	·
	1	ƙating	٠.
	3. 5	Rating	
, •	Overall evaluation of other activities (d		(
III.	Overall Evaluation on Service To The Coll (Add up a, b, c, d and divide by 4) Professional Growth (list activities evaluated - use back of page if r		
	1,	Rating	
	ż	Rating .	· · ·
٠ .	3.	Rating	
,	4	Rating	•
-	Overall Average Evaluation on Professions (Add up and divide by number of activities)	al Development es)	*
IV.	Professional Activities (list activ.ties evaluated - use back of page if	necessary)	·
<u>:</u>	1	Rating Rating Rating Rating Rating Kating	
(a)	Overall Evaluation on Professional Activ	ities	

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

- 3

1		. 6		Rating,	
.*					
2. <u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Rating	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3	7		·	Ra ting	·
4	<u> </u>			Rating	
Overall Evalu	ation on Commu	nity/Public	c Service		· ·
Overall Evalu	ation on Commu	nity/Publio	c Service	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Overall Evalu	ation on Commu	ni ty/Publid	c Service		
Overall Evalu		ni ty/Publi	c Service	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



Role Evaluations by Supervisor

(To	be completed	by appropriate	supervisor and	placed in	Section I)
	,**		٠		
	¢ .				
					a
	Facultur	momb on			ů.

*	Faculty member		a .
I.	 Instruction A. Instructional Design (1) List specific courses/materials to be Syllabus: (use back of page if necess 	rated: sary)	?
	Course No. Course No.	Rating Rating Rating	-
	Overall average of evaluations on syllabus	development	,
	(2) Other Materials Development (Give descriptive title - use back of	page if necessary)	
,	1.	Rating	•
,m.	2.	Rating	
	3.	Rating	
	Overall average of evaluations on other ma	terials	•
	B. Out-of-class activities		
	Record-Keeping Office Hours	RatingRating	
	Overall average of out-of-class activities		\$ **
•	Composite Role Rating in Instruction (Average of three above)	• ,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Service to the College (a) Standing Committees: (b) Registration: (c) Department Work: (d) Other:	Rating Rating Rating Rating	
	Overall average of ratings on Service to the	he C ollege	
			·

		Rating	- ·
2		Rat ing	
,	, ,	Rating	—,
			
Professi on al /		back of sheet if necessary)	<u>l</u>
1		Rating	 ,
•		Rating	
•			
· ———		rofessional Activities	-
Community/Pub	lic Service es evaluated (use	back of shee t if necessary)	
		•	
2		 Rating	
3		Rating	
Overall avera	ge of ratings on C	community/Public Service	
		•	<u> </u>



Signature of Supervisor Date Completed

27

	Report of .	
	Committee Activities by Chairperson	
(To	be completed by committee chairperson and	sent
`to	supervisor of member by March 15, 1983)	
	·	

Comr	mittee	-
Com	mittee Member	•
I.	Attendance :	
	A. How many meetings were scheduled?	
	B. How many meetings did this person attend?	
	Comments	,
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		g

Role of the above person in these activities.

III. Other comments.

Signature Committee Chairperson Date

Report of
Committee Activities by Members
(To be completed by committee member and sent to supervisor of the chairperson by March 15, 1983)

1	9	•	1	9

(
•	Committee	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Chairperson	
•	deetings	•
	A. How many meetings were scheduled?	:
•	B. How many meetings did you attend?	•
1	C. How were you notified of the meetings?	
•		
	<u> </u>	
	·	_
•	•	
•		•
•		-
2	Give a brief description of committee activities for this ye	
	What was the role of the chairperson in these activities?	
	What was the role of the chairperson in these activities?	
	What was the role of the chairperson in these activities?	· · ·
-	What was the role of the chairperson in these activities?	
	What was the role of the chairperson in these activities?	
	What was the role of the chairperson in these activities? Other comments	
	·	

Date

Report of Department Work

(To	be comp	leted by	faculty:	member,	signed	and	filed
in	faculty	member's	dossier	by Marc	h 15,	1983))

ulty Member						
Department Meet Number schedu Number attend	ıled _			٠,		o
Comments						
	÷			-		•
•						
·		j				
		•				
Specific Assign (Must be direc	nments	role assid	nment)			
•	,	*		n thic was:	indicata	
List all comminesponsibility	ttee or sp and outco	ecial assig mes of the	ynments to work. No	r this year te any rele	eased time	awarded.
•	-					
					5± °	
					<u> </u>	
			,			
		<u> </u>	,		<u>-</u>	
		-	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	ŝ	-	,	•		•
	ŝ	-	,			
			,			
	ż .		,			•
			,			
			,			
			,			
			,			



Report of other Activities as Service to the College

(To be completed by faculty member, documentation attached and filed in the dossier)

The purpose of this form is to provide a means of reporting for evaluation those activities which are not covered elsewhere in the role of Service to the College. Documentation can include letters, programs, reports, newspaper articles, etc.

An itemized list of other activities should be noted below and documentation should be designated in the upper right hand corner.

Report of Professional Growth

(To be completed by individual faculty member and given to his/her supervisor with appropriate reports and documents attached)

	ivity			C.E.	U.'s		
Acti	 ivity <u>°</u>				U.'s		
1							
Act	ivity				U. 's		7
` Cou	rses Taken:	(List below a	and attach trar		esignated as II	I R-2	
		*				·	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
. Off	-campus Act	ivities: (Lis	t below and at	tach report, Fo	rm III R-3		,
					*	•	- <u>-</u>
	•	,					
	y Teaching S	strategies (Lis	t below and at	tach report, Fo	rm III R-4	£	
. New	, , ,						
. New	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			•	,		
. New					,		

VI. U	uner:					ach any pertinent
			•		۰	, ,
				1		, ′
Signat	ure _			• •	Dat	te

Off-Campus Activities

Faculty Member	, ,
Name of Activity	
Date of Activity	
Sponsored by	3
General Description	
	v
,	·
Professional benefits derive	ed
	
Was this activity supported If so, how did you report to	
	by SSCC funds? your colleagues about the activity?
If so, how did you report to (If written, attach copy of	by SSCC funds? your colleagues about the activity?
If so, how did you report to (If written, attach copy of Briefly describe how this ac	by SSCC funds?
If so, how did you report to (If written, attach copy of Briefly describe how this ac	by SSCC funds?
If so, how did you report to (If written, attach copy of Briefly describe how this ac	by SSCC funds?
If so, how did you report to (If written, attach copy of Briefly describe how this ac	by SSCC funds?
If so, how did you report to (If written, attach copy of Briefly describe how this ac	by SSCC funds?

Signature____

Date



NEW TEACHING STRATEGIES

Faculty Member				· v	<i>:</i>			
Description of			gy:	_				
			·					
Results:	<u> </u>					<u>.</u>		
			•					<u>. </u>
		· 						
,,			-					_
				t	,	٠		•
		•	•	9		•		
	, *	•			, ,	o		
		, .		•		,	·	

Signature

Date

Professional Activity/Status

Facult member should list all activities to be considered in this role, attach documentation and place in dossier. (Designate documents in upper right corner as IV-R)

Community/Public Service

Faculty member should list all activities to be considered in this role, attach documentation and place in dossier. (Designate documents in upper right corner as V-R)

37,

SUMMARY SHEET ANNUAL EVALUATION (To be completed by supervisor)

4	•	. Faculty Member		,
	I.	Instruction .		
·	•	Student Rating Peer Rating Self Rating Suvervisor Rating	X 50% = X 30% = X 10% = X 10% =	·
,		Composite Role Rating (Total of above)		
(50-70)		Emphasis	X Composite	. =
	II.	Service to the College		•
		Peer Rating Self Rating Supervisor Rating	X 40% = X 20% = X 40% =	•
		Composite Role Rating (Total of above)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
(15-35)	•	Weight	X Composite	´=
	III.	Professional Growth	ų	
1		Peer Rating Self Rating Supervisor Rating	X 20% = X 40% = X 40% =	- · -
	=	Composite Role Rating (Total of above)	,	, . •
(5-25)		Weight	X Composite	=
	IV.	Professional Activities		•
	• .	Peer Rating Self Rating Thervisor Rating	X 45% = X 35% = X 20% =	<u>-</u> -
		Composite Role Rating (Total of above)		
(5-25)	<u></u>	Weight	X Composite	_ = <u></u>
		•		

V. Community Castic Service Peer Rating Self Rating Supervisor Rating	x 30% = x 30% = x 40% =	_
Composite Role Rating (Total of Above)	·	,
(5-15) Weight	x Composite	
OVERALL COMPOSITE RATING (TO)	M. OF ALL 5)	,
••		
Acknowledgement of Review:	,	,
Faculty Member	. Da Ee	/_/ Agree /_/ Disa greé
. Department Head	Date	·
Division Chairperson	Date	
•		
	Date	

Should be written explicitly as to areas of disagreement and must be signed by the person making the statement.

See also the appeals process in SSCC Policy on Promotion and Tenure 1:01:03:00

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE FOR JUNIOR COLLEGES 96 POWELL LIBRARY BUILDING LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024 EE 36

